

October 12, 2017

Notice of Intent to Confront Court
and Request for Protection

To: Van Zandt Courthouse Security

To: Van Zandt Sheriff

To: Van Zandt District Attorney

To: Whosoever

From: Udo Birnbaum

Details: **Notice of July 28, 2016** (attached, re court gone rogue)

Details: **www.OpenJustice.US** (my website, lots and lots more)

BE IT KNOWN THAT:

I, UDO BIRNBAUM, find myself forced to confront this 294th District Court, in this courthouse, upon what this Court unlawfully has done upon me.

I will not pause upon this matter, lest promised to be arrested and tried, to establish my Right to thus peacefully petition under the operative facts.

I come absent mens rea. Actus reus, however, may have to follow.

IN GOOD FAITH,

UDO BIRNBAUM
540 VZ County Road 2916
Eustace, TX 75124
903 479-3929
email: BRNBM@AOL.COM

Notice to Hon. Teresa Drum:

Judge Drum, your 294th District Court has gone rogue.

To wit, a \$62,885 punishment upon me, for having made a counter-claim when I was sued - a First Amendment Right.

Plus an additional \$125,770 punishment (2 x \$62,885) upon me, for seeking relief from the above – again a First Amendment Right – to petition my government – for relief.

Such PUNISHMENT, in your 294th, because:

“In assessing the [\$62,885] sanctions, the Court has taken into consideration that although Mr. Birnbaum may be well-intentioned and may believe that he had some kind of real claim as far as RICO there was nothing presented to the court in any of the proceedings since I’ve been involved that suggest he had any basis in law or in fact to support his suits against the individuals, and I think – can find that such [\$62,885] sanctions as I’ve determined are appropriate.”

(Sanctions hearing – notice all the “had”, “was”, “suggest”, “think”)

Besides, your court, by civil process, is forbidden from imposing unconditional punishment, of any kind. Any civil sanction has to be “coercive”, i.e. provide “keys to own release” – to purge such contempt. US Supreme Court, no less.

Stated another way, punishment – for past conduct – requires full criminal process, including a finding of “beyond a reasonable doubt” – by a jury. US Supreme Court.

All statements true and correct, and upon personal knowledge.

SIGNED this ____ day of _____, 2016

UDO BIRNBAUM

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE ME on this ____ day of _____, 2016

Notary Public, State of Texas